#### 54<sup>th</sup> Photogrammetric Week



## Fast, Economic and Precise 3D Digitization of Cultural Heritage



#### **Martin Ritz**

Competence Center Cultural Heritage Digitization Fraunhofer Institute for Computer Graphics Research

Fraunhoferstraße 5 - 64283 Darmstadt - Germany

eMail: Martin.Ritz@igd.fraunhofer.de Web: <u>http://www.igd.fraunhofer.de</u>







Why Digitization?



2003 Earthquake – Bam, Iran, world's largest clay buildings

#### Fire – Herzogin Anna Amalia Library Weimar **2004**



## Why Digitization?



2009 Collapse – City archives Cologne, 30 shelf-km destroyed

War – UNESCO World Cultural Heritage Timbuktu, Mali 2012











#### What has happened so far...

- Digitization (German ,Digitalisierung' / noun 'Digitalisat'):
  - Create a digital representation of real documents or artifacts
- Two-dimensional cultural artifacts:
  - Huge campaigns on national, European and worldwide level Goal: digitization of antique scriptures, writings and paintings e.g. German Digital Library (DDB), Europeana and Google Library Project, Microsoft Book Digitization Project
  - Within the last 10 years, a market of device manufacturers and service providers has emerged, accounting to several 100 million EUR in size, worldwide







Collection of antiquities SMB Inv.Nr. AvP VII 50









#### ...and what about 3D?!

#### Three-dimensional cultural heritage:

#### So far only prestige objects:

**1999** Stanford, Michelangelo, David statue; **2002**, Luebke, Monticello; **2005**, Guidi, "Plastico di Roma antica"; **2009**, Skyarc, Kasumi Tombs Uganda, UNESCO world heritage; **2008**, **2011**, Trigonart GmbH, Nofretete, Berlin



**Staatliche Museen zu Berlin** Preußischer Kulturbesitz













#### Status: Digitization of documents and artifacts

#### Three-dimensional cultural heritage artifacts:

- Small artifact series (e.g. Saxony State Office for Archeology ~7000 3D artifacts digitized)
- But: no strategic, integral approach for digitization and classification of museum stock or new entrants, historic sites, monuments or historic edifices

#### Status May 2012 – ENUMERATE EU Project (2012-2014):

- Only few 3D artifacts digitized compared to other artifact classes (books, paintings, photos)
  - → barely 1% of all digitized artifacts are 3D artifacts
- **34%** of museums hold a **digitization strategy**
- **23%** of museums hold a **long-term preservation strategy** for **digitizations**







#### So far: manual 3D digitization of artifacts

- Digitization using e.g.:
  - PolyMetric 3D Scanner PT-M (4 MPixel cameras, 35mm lenses) resolution down to 15µm
  - Manual (re-)positioning of scanner = 85% of time expenditure...



2012









# **3D digitization of the Berlin Rongorongo tablet**

## Competence Center Cultural Heritage Digitization













### Berlin Rongorongo tablet

- 300 scans / 300 textures @ resolution 15µm
- Final computation in highest resolution
  - Machine: 2 x Xeon 3.2Ghz – 256GB RAM – 32 Cores
  - Computation time: 36 hours
  - Model size: 300 million triangles













© 2012 D.









#### Surface analysis of backside illuminated from right











#### **3D** surface analysis with curvature filter











#### Surface analysis



2012 D.I

0







#### Time expenditure today - V&A study: geometry and texture





#### Time expenditure today - V&A study: geometry and texture





#### Time expenditure today - V&A study: geometry and texture



## What is missing? (e.g. from the perspective of the Berlin Museums)

- ~6 million artifacts
- 120,000 new entrants per year
- Quick estimation of effort...
  - 3D digitization of only the new entrants...
    - 120,000 / 365 days / 24 h / 60 min =
    - 0.228 objects/min = 4.38 min/object!!!
- Not feasible!
  Required: automated, scalable and economic 3D digitization processes!





- Cultural artifacts of worldwide heritage
- Back to 6000 BC
- More than 175 years museum history









## First attempts of speeding up the process

- DOME:
  - University of Bonn, Prof. R. Klein
  - 151 Cameras and light sources
  - Geometry, texture and material property acquisition
- ORCAM:
  - DFKI, Prof. D. Stricker
  - Geometry, texture and material property acquisition
- Drawback:
  - Occlusions cannot be scanned
  - Processing time per artifact takes hours
  - Post-processing takes hours
  - Manual artifact placement and removal











## Challenges

- Improved / simplified applicability of the technology
  - Geometry, texture, optical material properties acquisition
  - Cost reduction
  - Automatization
  - Scalability
- Improved workflows
  - Interdisciplinary knowledge transfer
  - Guidelines and best practices
- Projects with critical mass



Rongorongo tablet Ethnological Museum Berlin











## Our Vision: Fully automated 3D Scanning Pipeline $CultLab^{3D}$

- Pass 0: New entries arrive at a museum archive / depot
  - Place series of artifacts on conveyor tablets
  - Place conveyor tablets on conveyor belt system
- Pass 1: Dome-like coarse scanner (patent pending)
  - Acquisition of geometry, texture and optical material properties using photogrammetric approaches (MVS, PS,...)
  - Pre-classification of incoming artifacts for semantically supported
    3D centered annotation
  - Iterative scan planning for consecutive pass in which occlusions are resolved







## Our Vision: Fully automated 3D Scanning pipeline CultLab

- Pass 2: Compliant robotic arms with 3D scanners on end effectors at turntable
  - Resolve remaining occlusions based on iterative scan planning (pass1)
  - Add more detail to full 3D scan and semantic classification of artifacts
- Pass 3: Storage of real artifacts and virtual 3D models •
  - Move artifacts on conveyor tablets to storage vault
  - Post-process final 3D virtual models, annotate and store them in a Cloud-based Data storage vault ( $\rightarrow$  Fraunhofer Cloud)









#### Does this exist yet?



• Yes.

#### Visit us on...



**Built Heritage** 

Culture & Traditions

Museums & Collections

Libraries & Archives

Art & Creativity

























# Goals of CultLab<sup>3D</sup>

- Economic and fast digitization of 3D artifacts to the price of 2D
- True-to-original replicas of artifacts (geometry, texture, material properties) in different levels of detail for:
  - Archiving systems of museums / research / replication
  - Tourism and culture applications
  - Game industry
- Novel possibilities of applications for museums:
  - Hybrid exhibitions
  - Parallel availability of artifacts









# Advantages of CultLab<sup>3D</sup>

- Flexibility regarding artifact sizes
  - Automated acquisition pipeline (objects up to size 60x60cm)
    - Efficient coarse-scanner
    - On-demand scanning of remaining occlusions/cavities
  - Autonomous object-centric full acquisition
    - Any object size under 3m height
  - More to come for increased flexibility in...
    - Sizes
    - Material complexities







Technologies used in CultLab<sup>3D</sup> (for coarse scanner)

# Excursion: what if my MVS reconstruction is incomplete?

# Multi-View Stereo (MVS) + Photometric Stereo (PS) ...to complete 3D geometry









#### Multi-View Stereo + Photometric Stereo

## Multi-view Stereo (MVS)

o different perspectiveso same light position





















#### **Result based on lab-capturing**











#### Results based on Real World data (webcam, 640x480 pix.)









#### **Publication**

## Removing the Example from Example-Based Photometric Stereo

Jens Ackermann<sup>1</sup>, Martin Ritz<sup>2</sup>, André Stork<sup>2</sup>, and Michael Goesele<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> TU Darmstadt <sup>2</sup> Fraunhofer IGD

**Abstract.** We introduce an example-based photometric stereo approach that does not require explicit reference objects. Instead, we use a robust multi-view stereo technique to create a partial reconstruction of the scene which serves as sceneintrinsic reference geometry. Similar to the standard approach, we then transfer normals from reconstructed to unreconstructed regions based on robust photo-

Removing the Example from Example-Based Photometric Stereo, Trends and Topics in Computer Vision, p. 197-210, vol. 6554, Springer, 2012









# Enriching digitized artifacts – 3D centered documentation

# **3D Internet as a means of presentation and exploration**











#### **3D centered documentation**

#### Fusing 3D/2D media-, meta- und provenience data









COFORM

#### **3D centered documentation**



2012 D. Fellr

0









#### Example: 3D annotation tool 3D-COFORM IVB (Integrated Viewer-Browser)











### 3D in the web browser

- From web sites to web applications Improved user experience
  - Today: Adobe Flash-based web sites
  - Tomorrow: Immersive in-browser 3D

- Increased interest for 3D
  - Product presentation
  - Visualization of abstract information (e.g. time diagrams, multi-dimensional data)
  - Immersive applications in culture and tourism



*Example 3D-COFORM:* browser for historic 3D objects







#### 3D Internet: X3DOM – Declarative (X)3D in HTML5



- X3DOM := X3D + DOM
- DOM-based integration framework for declarative 3D graphics in HTML5
- Seamless integration of 3D content into the web browser









#### **Example: 3D artifacts in Europeana**



 $\odot$ 







Thank you for your attention.



Fast, Economic and Precise 3D Digitization of Cultural Heritage



Visit us on...







**DEFINITION** HERITAGE

#### Thank you for your attention.



## Fast, Economic and Precise 3D Digitization of Cultural Heritage



#### **Martin Ritz**

Competence Center Cultural Heritage Digitization Fraunhofer Institute for Computer Graphics Research

Fraunhoferstraße 5 - 64283 Darmstadt - Germany

eMail: Martin.Ritz@igd.fraunhofer.de Web: <u>http://www.igd.fraunhofer.de</u>







Thank you for your attention.











